

**REMARKS**

The Office action of 16 June 2006 (Paper No. 20060608) has been carefully considered, and clarification is requested as follows.

The Office Action Summary states that claims 1, 4 thru 12, 15 thru 24 and 26 thru 36 are rejected. However, the Detailed Action (pages 2-14) does not state a statutory basis or detailed reasons for rejection of dependent claim 36.

Therefore, clarification as to the status of claim 36 and reasons for rejection, if any, of claim 36 are requested. It is also requested that the period for response to the Office action be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

No fee is incurred by this Request.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell,  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56382  
Date: 8/7/06  
I.D.: REB/JGS